CC5212-1

Procesamiento Masivo de Datos

Otoño 2019

Lecture 5

Apache Spark (Core)

Aidan Hogan

aidhog@gmail.com

Spark vs. Hadoop



What is the main weakness of Hadoop?

Let's see ...

Data Transport Costs



MapReduce/Hadoop

1. Input

2. Map

3. Partition [Sort]

4. Shuffle

5. Merge Sort

6. Reduce

7. Output



MapReduce/Hadoop




R
W
R
W
R
W
Input
Map
Partition / [Sort]
Combine
Shuffle
Merge Sort
Reduce
Output
(0,perro sed que)
(perro,1) (sed,1) (que,1)
(sed,1)
(perro,1) (que,1)
(sed,1)
(perro,1) (que,1)
(sed,1)
(decir,1)
(sed,1)
(sed,1)
(decir,1) (sed,1) (sed,1)
(decir,{1}) (sed,{1,1})
(decir,1) (sed,2)
(13,que decir que)
(que,1) (decir,1) (que,1)
(decir,1)
(que,1) (que,1)
(decir,1)
(que,2)
(perro,1) (que,1)
(que,2)
(que,1)
(perro,1) (que,1) (que,1) (que,2)
(pero,{1})
(que,{1,1,2})
(perro,1) (que,4)
(26,la que sed)
(la,1) (que,1) (sed,1)
(sed,1)
(que,1)
(la,1)
(sed,1)
(que,1)
(la,1)
(la,1)
(la,1)
(la,{1})
(la,1)



MapReduce/Hadoop always coordinates between phases (Map → Shuffle → Reduce) and between high-level tasks (Count → Order) using the hard-disk.



MapReduce/Hadoop always coordinates
between phases (Map → Shuffle → Reduce)
and between high-level tasks (Count → Order)
using the hard-disk.



We saw this already counting words …

- In memory on one machine: seconds
- On disk on one machine: minutes
- Over MapReduce: minutes

Any alternative to these options?

- In memory on multiple machines: ???

Simple case: Unique words fit in memory




R
W
Input
Count
Reduce / Collect
Output
(0,perro sed que)
(perro,1)
(que,1)
(sed,1)
(13,que decir que)
(que,2)
(decir,1)
(26,la que sed)
(la,1)
(que,1)
(sed,1)
(perro,1)
(la,1)
(que,4)
(sed,2)
(decir,1)
(perro,1)
(la,1)
(que,4)
(sed,2)
(decir,1)
APACHE
Spark
If unique words don't fit in memory?
...

Apache Spark

Main idea: Program with main memory



Main idea: Program (recursively) with main memory



Spark storage: Resilient Distributed Dataset



Like HDFS, RDD abstracts distribution, fault-tolerance, etc., …
… but RDD can also abstract hard-disk / main-memory


R
W
Input
Count
Reduce / Collect
Output
(0,perro sed que)
(13,que decir que)
(26,la que sed)
(perro,1)
(que,1)
(sed,1)
(que,2)
(decir,1)
(la,1)
(que,1)
(sed,1)
RDD
(perro,1)
(la,1)
(que,4)
(sed,2)
(decir,1)
RDD
(perro,1)
(la,1)
(que,4)
(sed,2)
(decir,1)
APACHE
Spark
If unique words don't fit in memory?
RDDs can fall back to disk

Spark storage: Resilient Distributed Dataset

- Resilient: Fault-tolerant
- Distributed: Partitioned
- Dataset: Umm, a set of data

RDDs can have multiple virtual partitions on one machine



Types of RDDs in Spark

- `HadoopRDD`
- `FilteredRDD`
- `MappedRDD`
- `PairRDD`
- `ShuffledRDD`
- `UnionRDD`
- `PythonRDD`
- `DoubleRDD`
- `JdbcRDD`
- `JsonRDD`
- `SchemaRDD`
- `VertexRDD`
- `EdgeRDD`
- `CassandraRDD`
- `GeoRDD`
- `EsSpark`

Specific types of RDDs permit specific operations

`PairRDD` of particular importance for M/R style operators

Apache Spark: Example

Spark: Products by Hour

customer412	1L_Leche	2014-03-31T08:47:57Z	$900
customer412	Nescafe	2014-03-31T08:47:57Z	$2.000
customer412	Nescafe	2014-03-31T08:47:57Z	$2.000
customer413	400g_Zanahoria	2014-03-31T08:48:03Z	$1.240
customer413	El_Mercurio	2014-03-31T08:48:03Z	$3.000
customer413	Gillette_Mach3	2014-03-31T08:48:03Z	$3.000
customer413	Santo_Domingo	2014-03-31T08:48:03Z	$2.450
customer413	Nescafe	2014-03-31T08:48:03Z	$2.000
customer414	Rosas	2014-03-31T08:48:24Z	$7.000
customer414	400g_Zanahoria	2014-03-31T08:48:24Z	$9.230
customer414	Nescafe	2014-03-31T08:48:24Z	$2.000
customer415	1L_Leche	2014-03-31T08:48:35Z	$900
customer415	300g_Frutillas	2014-03-31T08:48:35Z	$830
…			

$(\text{customer}, \text{item}, \text{time}, \text{price})$

Number of customers buying each premium item per hour of the day

Spark: Products by Hour

```
c1      i1      08      900
c1      i2      08      2000
c1      i2      08      2000
c2      i3      09      1240
c2      i4      09      3000
c2      i5      09      3000
c2      i6      09      2450
c2      i2      09      2000
c3      i7      08      7000
c3      i8      08      9230
c3      i2      08      2000
c4      i1      23      900
c4      i9      23      830
…
```

(customer,item,hour,price)

Number of customers buying each premium item per hour of the day


load
filter(p>1000)
coalesce(3)
...
c1,i1,08,900
c1,i2,08,2000
c1,i2,08,2000
c4,i1,23,900
c4,i9,23,830
HDFS
c2,i3,09,1240
c2,i4,09,3000
c2,i5,09,3000
c2,i6,09,2450
c2,i2,09,2000
HDFS
c3,i7,08,7000
c3,i8,08,9230
c3,i2,08,2000
HDFS
RDD
RDD
RDD


coalesce(3)
distinct
map((i,h),1)
countByKey
save
,2000
,2000
c1,i2,08,2000
c1,i2,08,2000
c1,i2,08,2000
(i2,08),1
(i3,09),1
(i4,09),1
(i5,09),1
(i3,09),1
(i4,09),1
(i5,09),1
HDFS
,1240
,3000
,3000
,2450
,2000
c2,i3,09,1240
c2,i4,09,3000
c2,i5,09,3000
c2,i6,09,2450
c2,i2,09,2000
c2,i3,09,1240
c2,i4,09,3000
c2,i5,09,3000
c2,i6,09,2450
c2,i2,09,2000
(i3,09),1
(i4,09),1
(i5,09),1
(i6,09),1
(i2,09),1
(i2,08),2
(i7,08),1
(i8,08),1
(i2,08),2
(i7,08),1
(i8,08),1
HDFS
,7000
,9230
,2000
c3,i7,08,7000
c3,i8,08,9230
c3,i2,08,2000
c3,i7,08,7000
c3,i8,08,9230
c3,i2,08,2000
(i7,08),1
(i8,08),1
(i2,08),1
(i6,09),1
(i2,09),1
(i6,09),1
(i2,09),1
HDFS
DD
RDD
RDD
RDD
RDD

Apache Spark:
Transformations & Actions

Spark: Transformations vs. Actions

Transformations are run lazily …

… they result in "virtual" RDDs

… they are only run to complete an action

… for example:



… are not run immediately

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
. = simple argument

R.map(*f*)	R.intersection(S)	R.cogroup(S)
R.flatMap(*f*)	R.distinct()	R.cartesian(S)
R.filter(*f*)	R.groupByKey()	R.pipe(.)
R.mapPartitions(*f*)	R.reduceByKey(*f*)	R.coalesce(.)
R.mapPartitionsWithIndex(*f*)	R.aggregateByKey(*f*)	R.repartition(.)
R.sample(.,.,.)	R.sortByKey()	...
R.union(S)	R.join(S)	...

Any guesses why some are underlined?

They require a shuffle

https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/programming-guide.html#transformations

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
x = simple argument

Transformation	Description	Example / Note
R.map(*f*)	Maps an element from R to one output value	*f* : (a,b,c) ↦ (a,c)
R.flatMap(*f*)	Maps an element from R to zero-or more output values	*f* : (a,b,c) ↦ {(a,b),(a,c)}
R.filter(*f*)	Maps every element of R that satisfies *f* to the output	*f* : a > b
R.mapPartitions(*f*)	Maps all elements of R to the output, calling *f* once per partition	*f* : R → $\pi_{a,c}$(R)
R.mapPartitionsWithIndex(*f*)	Like mapPartitions but *f* has as an argument the index of the partition	*f*(i) : R → $\pi_{a,c,i}$(R)
R.sample(w,f,s)	Takes a sample of R	w: with replacement f: fraction s: seed

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
x = simple argument

R.union(S)	R ∪ S
R.intersection(S)	R ∩ S
R.distinct()	Remove duplicates

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
x = simple argument

Requiring a `PairRDD` ...

R.groupByKey()	Groups values by key	
R.reduceByKey(*f*)	Groups values by key and calls *f* to combine and reduce values with the same key	*f* : (a,b) ↦ a + b
R.aggregateByKey(c,*fc*,*fr*)	Groups values by key using c as an initial value, *fc* as a combiner and *fr* as a reducer	c: initial value *fc*: combiner *fr*: reducer
R.sortByKey([a])	Order by key	a: true ascending false descending
R.join(S)	R ⋈ S, join by key	Also: leftOuterJoin, rightOuterJoin, and fullOuterJoin
R.cogroup(S)	Group values by key in R y S together	

Transformations

R.transform()

f = argumento de función
S = argumento de RDD
x = argumento simple

R.cartesian(S)	R × S, cross product	
R.pipe(c)	Creates a "pipe" from `stdin` to process data using the command **c** such as `grep`, `awk`, `perl`, etc. The result is an RDD with the output	
R.coalesce(n)	Merges various partitions into at most **n** partitions	
R.repartition(n)	Partitions the data again (often to rebalance) creating (at most) **n** partitions	
…	…	…

Spark: Transformations vs. Actions

Actions are where things execute …

… they result in "materialised" RDDs

… all ancestor transformations are run

… for example:



… all steps are now run

… but intermediate RDDs are not kept

Actions

R.action()

f = function argument

. = simple argument

```
R.reduce(f)
R.collect()
R.count()
R.first()
R.take(.)
R.takeSample(.,.)
R.takeOrdered(.)
```

```
R.saveToCassandra()
R.saveAsTextFile(.)
R.saveAsSequenceFile(.)
R.saveAsObjectFile(.)
R.countByKey()
R.foreach(f)
...
```

https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/programming-guide.html#actions

Actions

R.action()

f = function argument
x = simple argument

Acciones	Descripción	Ejemplo / Nota
R.reduce(*f*)	Reduces all data to one value/tuple (not by key!)	*f* : (a,b) ↦ a + b
R.collect()	Loads R as an array into the local application	
R.count()	Counts the elements of R	
R.first()	Get the first tuple of R	
R.take(n)	Loads an array of n values from R into the local application	
R.takeSample(w,n,s)	Loads a sample of n values from R into the local application	w: with replacement n: number s: seed

https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/programming-guide.html#actions

Actions

R.action()

f = function argument
x = simple argument

`R.saveAsTextFile(d)`	Save the data to the file system as a plain text file	`d`: directory
`R.saveAsSequenceFile(d)`	Save the data to the file system with the format `SequenceFile` for Hadoop	`d`: directory
`R.saveAsObjectFile(d)`	Save the data to the file system using native Java serialisation	`d`: directory
`R.countByKey()`	Count the values for each key	Only for `PairRDD`
`R.foreach(f)`	Execute the function *f* para for every element of R, typically to interact with something external	*f* : `println(r)`

https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/programming-guide.html#actions

Apache Spark:

transformations for `PairRDD`

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
x = simple argument

Requiring a PairRDD ...

R.groupByKey()	Groups values by key	
R.reduceByKey(*f*)	Groups values by key and calls *f* to combine and reduce values with the same key	*f* : (a,b) ↦ a + b
R.aggregateByKey(c,*fc*,*fr*)	Groups values by key using c as an initial value, *fc* as a combiner and *fr* as a reducer	c: initial value *fc*: combiner *fr*: reducer
R.sortByKey([a])	Order by key	a: true ascending false descending
R.join(S)	R ⋈ S, join by key	Also: leftOuterJoin, rightOuterJoin, and fullOuterJoin

How are the above different?

R.cogroup(S)	Group values by key in R y S together	

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
x = simple argument

R.groupByKey()	Groups values by key	
R.reduceByKey(*f*)	Groups values by key and calls *f* to combine and reduce values with the same key	*f* : (a,b) ↦ a + b
R.aggregateByKey(c,*fc*,*fr*)	Groups values by key using c as an initial value, *fc* as a combiner and *fr* as a reducer	c: initial value *fc*: combiner *fr*: reducer

To sum all values for each key in R?

```
(1) R.groupByKey().map(l,{v1,...,vn}) ↦ (l,sum({v1,...,vn}));
(2) R.reduceByKey((u,v) ↦ u + v);
(3) R.aggregateByKey(0, (u,v) ↦ u + v, (u,v) ↦ u + v);
```

¡(2) uses a combiner! (3) does the same, but is less concise. So (2) is best!

Transformations

R.transform()

f = function argument
S = RDD argument
x = simple argument

R.groupByKey()	Groups values by key	
R.reduceByKey(*f*)	Groups values by key and calls *f* to combine and reduce values with the same key	*f* : (a,b) ↦ a + b
R.aggregateByKey(c,*fc*,*fr*)	Groups values by key using c as an initial value, *fc* as a combiner and *fr* as a reducer	c: initial value *fc*: combiner *fr*: reducer

To average all values for each key in R?

```
(1) R.groupByKey().map(l,{v1,...,vn}) ↦ (l,avg({v1,...,vn}));

(2) R1 = R.reduceByKey((u,v) ↦ u + v);
    R2 = R.countByKey();
    R3 = R1.join(R2).map((l,(s,c)) ↦ (l,s/c));

(3) R.aggregateByKey( (0,0), ((s,c),v) ↦ (s+v,c+1),
                      ((s1,c1),(s2,c2)) ↦ ((s1+s2),(c1+c2)))
                          .map((s,c) ↦ s / c);
```

(3) has a combiner and only needs one shuffle. So (3) is best!

Apache Spark:
"Directed Acyclic Graph" ("DAG")

Spark: Products by Hour

receipts.txt

c1	i1	08	900
c1	i2	08	2000
c1	i2	08	2000
c2	i3	09	1240
c2	i4	09	3000
c2	i5	09	3000
c2	i6	09	2450
c2	i2	09	2000
c3	i7	08	7000
c3	i8	08	9230
c3	i2	08	2000
c4	i1	23	900
c4	i9	23	830
…	(customer,item,hour,price)		

Number of customers buying each premium item per hour of the day

Spark: Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)



Spark: Products by Hour

receipts.txt

c1	i1	08	900
c1	i2	08	2000
c1	i2	08	2000
c2	i3	09	1240
c2	i4	09	3000
c2	i5	09	3000
c2	i6	09	2450
c2	i2	09	2000
c3	i7	08	7000
c3	i8	08	9230
c3	i2	08	2000
c4	i1	23	900
c4	i9	23	830
…			

(customer,item,hour,price)

customer.txt

c1	female	40
c2	male	24
c3	female	73
c4	female	21
…		

(customer,gender)

Number of customers buying each premium item per hour of the day

Also … Nº of females older than 30 buying each premium item per hour of the day

Spark: Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)

Problem?

Solution?



Spark: Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)

Problem?

Solution?

Materialise re-used RDD



Spark: Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)

- Cache (aka. persist)
  - Is lazy (still needs an action to run)
  - Can use memory or disk (default memory only)

cache

Storage Level	Meaning
MEMORY_ONLY	Store RDD as deserialized Java objects in the JVM. If the RDD does not fit in memory, some partitions will not be cached and will be recomputed on the fly each time they're needed. This is the default level.
MEMORY_AND_DISK	Store RDD as deserialized Java objects in the JVM. If the RDD does not fit in memory, store the partitions that don't fit on disk, and read them from there when they're needed.
MEMORY_ONLY_SER (Java and Scala)	Store RDD as *serialized* Java objects (one byte array per partition). This is generally more space-efficient than deserialized objects, especially when using a fast serializer, but more CPU-intensive to read.
MEMORY_AND_DISK_SER (Java and Scala)	Similar to MEMORY_ONLY_SER, but spill partitions that don't fit in memory to disk instead of recomputing them on the fly each time they're needed.
DISK_ONLY	Store the RDD partitions only on disk.
MEMORY_ONLY_2, MEMORY_AND_DISK_2, etc.	Same as the levels above, but replicate each partition on two cluster nodes.
OFF_HEAP (experimental)	Similar to MEMORY_ONLY_SER, but store the data in off-heap memory. This requires off-heap memory to be enabled.

https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/programming-guide.html#rdd-persistence

Apache Spark: Core Summary

SPARK Lifecycle



SPARK Lifecycle



SPARK Lifecycle

- Input RDDs
- Transform RDDs
- Cache (aka. persist) reused RDDs
- Perform an Action (launching execution)
- Output to file/database/local terminal

Spark: Beyond the core

Hadoop vs. Spark

vs

		
 **YARN**		 **Mesos**
		 **Tachyon**

Hadoop vs. Spark: SQL, ML, Streams, …

vs

YARN

Mesos

Tachyon

SQL

MLlib

STORM

Streaming

Spark can use the disk

Apache Spark the fastest open source engine for sorting a petabyte

		Spark 100 TB *	Spark 1 PB
Data Size	102.5 TB	100 TB	1000 TB
Elapsed Time	72 mins	23 mins	234 mins
# Nodes	2100	206	190
# Cores	50400	6592	6080
# Reducers	10,000	29,000	250,000
Rate	1.42 TB/min	4.27 TB/min	4.27 TB/min
Rate/node	0.67 GB/min	20.7 GB/min	22.5 GB/min
Sort Benchmark Daytona Rules	Yes	Yes	No
Environment	dedicated data center	EC2 (i2.8xlarge)	EC2 (i2.8xlarge)

https://databricks.com/blog/2014/10/10/spark-petabyte-sort.html

Spark vs. Hadoop

“Data Scientist”: Job Postings (2016)

Here are the top 10 in-demand skills for data scientists:

Skills	Job skill appears in	% of jobs with skill
SQL	1987	56%
Hadoop	1713	49%
Python	1367	39%
Java	1287	36%
R	1120	32%
Hive	1099	31%
Mapreduce	768	22%
NoSQL	657	18%
Pig	561	16%
SAS	560	16%

http://visit.crowdflower.com/rs/416-ZBE-142/images/CrowdFlower_DataScienceReport_2016.pdf

“Data Scientist”: Job Postings (2017)

Becoming A Data Scientist: The Skills That Can Make You The Most Money

Karsten Strauss Forbes Staff

1. **Python** (72%)
2. **R** (64%)
3. **SQL** (51%)
4. **Hadoop** (39%)
5. **Java** (33%)
6. **SAS** (30%)
7. **Spark** (27%)
8. **Matlab** (20%)
9. **Hive** (17%)
10. **Tableau** (14%)

https://www.forbes.com/sites/karstenstrauss/2017/09/21/becoming-a-data-scientist-the-skills-that-can-make-you-the-most-money/#5819cef9634f

“Data Scientist”: Job Postings (2018)



https://towardsdatascience.com/the-most-in-demand-skills-for-data-scientists-4a4a8db896db

Spark vs. Hadoop



Questions?