CC7220-1
La Web de Datos
Primavera 2018

Lecture 4: Web Ontology Language (OWL) [I]

Aidan Hogan
aidhog@gmail.com

Last time …

[*] MORE OR LESS

SEMANTIC WEB: DATA → RULES → QUERY → OUTPUT*

DATA:

Ireland 

(Ireland,partOf,Europe)
(Ireland,isA,Country)
(Ireland,capital,Dublin)

Dublin 

(Ireland,capital,Dublin)
(Dublin,population,1000000)

RULES: "(b,capital,a) → (a,partOf,b)"
"(a,partOf,b), (b,partOf,c) → (a,partOf,c)"

QUERY: "(x,partOf,y)?"

OUTPUT: {($x \mapsto$ Ireland, $y \mapsto$ Europe),
($x \mapsto$ Dublin, $y \mapsto$ Ireland),
($x \mapsto$ Dublin, $y \mapsto$ Europe)}

RDF often drawn as a (directed, labelled) graph

subject	*predicate*	*object*
Ireland	partOf	Europe
Ireland	a	Country
Ireland	capital	Dublin
Dublin	population	1,000,000



Semantic Web: Data → Rules → Query → Output*

Data:

Rules: "(b,capital,a) $\rightarrow$ (a,partOf,b)"
"(a,partOf,b), (b,partOf,c) $\rightarrow$ (a,partOf,c)"

Query: "(x,partOf,y)?"

Output: $\{(x \mapsto$ Ireland, $y \mapsto$ Europe$)$,
$(x \mapsto$ Dublin, $y \mapsto$ Ireland$)$,
$(x \mapsto$ Dublin, $y \mapsto$ Europe$)\}$

RDF Schema: RDFS

Class c is a **sub-class** of Class d

If `(x,rdf:type,c)` then `(x,rdf:type,d)`

Property p is a **sub-property** of q

If `(x,p,y)` then `(x,q,y)`

Property p has **domain** class c

If `(x,p,y)` then `(x,rdf:type,c)`

Property p has **range** class c

If `(x,p,y)` then `(y,rdf:type,c)`

TODAY'S TOPIC …

SEMANTIC WEB: DATA → RULES → QUERY → OUTPUT*

DATA:

Ireland

(Ireland,partOf,Europe)
(Ireland,isA,Country)
(Ireland,capital,Dublin)

Dublin

(Ireland,capital,Dublin)
(Dublin,population,1000000)

RULES: "(b,capital,a) $\rightarrow$ (a,partOf,b)"
"(a,partOf,b), (b,partOf,c) $\rightarrow$ (a,partOf,c)"

QUERY: "(x,partOf,y)?"

OUTPUT: {($x \mapsto$ Ireland, $y \mapsto$ Europe),
($x \mapsto$ Dublin, $y \mapsto$ Ireland),
($x \mapsto$ Dublin, $y \mapsto$ Europe)}


← RDFS
← OWL



What can we intuitively conclude about `Zia`?



What can we intuitively conclude about Zia?

Zia is also a Zebroid!

What kind of reasoning are we using here?

Deductive



What assumptions do we make to conclude that `Zia` is a `Zebroid`?

If x has same `sire` and `dam` as y and y is a `Zebroid` then x is a `Zebroid`!

Very specific to this example



$$
\begin{aligned}
&(x,\texttt{:dam},z_1),\ (x,\texttt{:sire},z_2),\\
&(y,\texttt{:dam},z_1),\ (y,\texttt{:sire},z_2),\\
&(y,\texttt{rdf:type},\texttt{:Zebroid})\\
\rightarrow\ &(x,\texttt{rdf:type},\texttt{:Zebroid})
\end{aligned}
$$



What assumptions do we make to conclude that `Zia` is a `Zebroid`?

- `sire` is a sub-property of `parent`
- `dam` is a sub-property of `parent`



What assumptions do we make to conclude that `Zia` is a `Zebroid`?

- `sire` is a sub-property of `parent`
- `dam` is a sub-property of `parent`
- A `Zebroid` has exactly one `parent` a `Zebra`
- A `Zebroid` has exactly one `parent` a (¬`Zebra` and a `Equine`)



What assumptions do we make to conclude that Zia is a Zebroid?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents



What assumptions do we make to conclude that Zia is a Zebroid?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time



What assumptions do we make to conclude that Zia is a Zebroid?

Which are expressible in RDFS?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time



What assumptions do we make to conclude that Zia is a Zebroid?

Which are expressible in RDFS?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time



What assumptions do we make to conclude that `Zia` is a `Zebroid`?

- `sire` is a sub-property of `parent`
- `dam` is a sub-property of `parent`
- A `Zebroid` has exactly one `parent` a `Zebra`
- A `Zebroid` has exactly one `parent` a (¬`Zebra` and a `Equine`)
- A `Zebroid` is a sub-class of `Equine`
- An `Equine` has exactly two `parents`
- Two things cannot be related by `sire` and `dam` at the same time

Which are expressible in RDFS?

The rest we can express in OWL

Web Ontology Language: OWL

OWL (2): A Web Standard

https://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-overview/

W3C Recommendation

OWL 2 Web Ontology Language Document Overview (Second Edition)

W3C Recommendation 11 December 2012

This version:
http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/REC-owl2-overview-20121211/

Latest version (series 2):
http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-overview/

Latest Recommendation:
http://www.w3.org/TR/owl-overview

Previous version:
http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/PER-owl2-overview-20121018/

Editors:
W3C OWL Working Group (see Acknowledgements)

Please refer to the **errata** for this document, which may include some normative corrections.

A color-coded version of this document showing changes made since the previous version is also available.

Formal Underpinnings: Description Logics

Name	Syntax	OWL key-term	DL
		Concept Definitions	
Atomic Concept	A	owl:Class	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Top Concept	$\top$	owl:Thing	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Bottom Concept	$\bot$	owl:Nothing	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Negation	$\neg C$	owl:complementOf	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Intersection	$C \sqcap D$	owl:intersectionOf	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Union	$C \sqcup D$	owl:unionOf	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Nominal	$\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$	owl:oneOf	$\mathcal{O}$
Existential Restriction	$\exists R.C$	owl:someValuesFrom	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Universal Restriction	$\forall R.C$	owl:allValuesFrom	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Self Restriction	$\exists R.\mathsf{Self}$	owl:hasSelf	$\mathcal{R}$
Number Restriction	$\leq n\,R, \geq n\,R, = n\,R$	owl:*cardinality	$\mathcal{N}$
Qualified Number Restriction	$\leq n\,R.C, \geq n\,R.C, = n\,R.C$	owl:*qualifiedCardinality	$\mathcal{Q}$
		Concept Axioms (T-Box)	
Concept Inclusion	$C \sqsubseteq D$	rdfs:subClassOf	$\mathcal{ALC}$
		Role Definitions	
Role	R	owl:*Property	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Inverse Role	R^-	owl:inverseOf	$\mathcal{I}$
Universal Role	U	owl:top*Property	$\mathcal{R}$
		Role Axioms (R-Box)	
Role Inclusion	$R \sqsubseteq S$	rdfs:subPropertyOf	$\mathcal{H}$
Complex Role Inclusion	$R_1 \circ ... \circ R_n \sqsubseteq S$	owl:propertyChainAxiom	$\mathcal{R}$
Transitive Roles	$\mathsf{Trans}(R)$	owl:TransitiveProperty	$\mathcal{S}$
Functional Roles	$\mathsf{Func}(R)$	owl:FunctionalProperty	$\mathcal{F}$
Reflexive Roles	$\mathsf{Ref}(R)$	owl:ReflexiveProperty	$\mathcal{R}$
Irreflexive Roles	$\mathsf{Irref}(R)$	owl:IrreflexiveProperty	$\mathcal{R}$
Symmetric Roles	$\mathsf{Sym}(R)$	owl:SymmetricProperty	$\mathcal{I}$
Asymmetric Roles	$\mathsf{Asym}(R)$	owl:AsymmetricProperty	$\mathcal{R}$
Disjoint Roles	$\mathsf{Disj}(R, S)$	owl:disjointPropertyWith	$\mathcal{R}$
		Assertional Definitions	
(Named) Individual	a	*(RDF IRI or Literal)*	$\mathcal{ALC}$
		Assertional Axioms (A-Box)	
Role Assertion	$R(a, b)$	*(RDF triple)*	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Negative Role Assertion	$\neg R(a, b)$	owl:NegativePropertyAssertion	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Assertion	$C(a)$	rdf:type	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Equality	$a = b$	owl:sameAs	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Inequality	$a \neq b$	owl:differentFrom	$\mathcal{ALC}$

For today: A running example



Logical Assumptions

Open World Assumption (OWA)

How many children does Vito have according to this RDF graph?



- ~~Vito has 3 children?~~
- Vito has at least 3 children?

```
:Vito :hasChild :Connie , :Sonny , :Michael .
:Vito :hasChild :Fredo .
... ?
```

Open World Assumption

- RDF(S) and OWL:
  - Take an Open World Assumption (OWA):
    - Anything not known is <u>not</u> assumed to be false, simply unknown
    - Without further information, Vito may have children that we don't know about!

Why might this assumption be important for the Web?

OWA: Assuming Web data to be complete a bad idea

No Unique Name Assumption (No UNA)

How many children does Vito have according to this RDF graph?

- ~~Vito has 3 children?~~
- ~~Vito has at least 3 children?~~
- Vito has at least one child!




```
:Vito :hasChild :Connie , :Sonny , :Michael .
:Vito :hasChild :Fredo .
... ?
```

No Unique Name Assumption (No UNA)

- RDF(S) and OWL:
  - Do not take a Unique Name Assumption:
    - Two or more IRIs may refer to the same thing!
    - Without further information, the IRIs we know to be Vito's children may refer to one real-world thing!

Why might this assumption be important for the Web?

No UNA: Assuming strict naming agreement on the Web a bad idea



Which assumptions are needed under the Open World Assumption?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time



Which assumptions are needed under the Open World Assumption?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time



Which assumptions are needed without a Unique Name Assumption?

- `sire` is a sub-property of `parent`
- `dam` is a sub-property of `parent`
- A `Zebroid` has exactly one `parent` a `Zebra`
- A `Zebroid` has exactly one `parent` a (¬`Zebra` and a `Equine`)
- A `Zebroid` is a sub-class of `Equine`
- An `Equine` has exactly two `parents`
- Two things cannot be related by `sire` and `dam` at the same time



Which assumptions are needed without a Unique Name Assumption?

- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time

Let's start with some RDFS …

rdfs:subPropertyOf




```
:Vito :husbandOf :Carmela .
:husbandOf rdfs:subPropertyOf :spouse .
⇒  :Vito :spouse :Carmela .
```

```
:Carmela :wifeOf :Vito .
:wifeOf rdfs:subPropertyOf :spouse .
⇒  :Carmela :spouse :Vito .
```

rdfs:subClassOf




```
:Mary rdf:type :Woman .
:Woman rdfs:subClassOf :Person .
⇒  :Mary rdf:type :Person .
```

rdfs:domain




```
:Carmela :motherOf :Fredo .
:motherOf rdfs:domain :Female.
⇒  :Carmela rdf:type :Female .
```

rdfs:range



:Carmela :hasSon :Fredo .

:hasSon rdfs:range :Male .

⇒ :Fredo rdf:type :Male .

(In)Equality in OWL …

owl:sameAs




```
:VitoOld owl:sameAs :VitoYoung .
```

owl:differentFrom

How many children does Vito have according to this RDF graph?



- Vito has at least two children!

```
:Vito :hasChild :Connie, :Sonny, :Michael, :Fredo .
:Connie owl:differentFrom :Sonny, :Michael, :Fredo .
```

Inconsistency in OWL ...




```
:VitoOld owl:sameAs :VitoYoung .
:VitoOld owl:differentFrom :VitoYoung .
⇒ FALSE
```

Property Axioms in OWL …

owl:equivalentProperty




```
:Vito :parentOf :Michael .
:Michael :hasChild :Mary .
:parentOf owl:equivalentProperty :hasChild .
⇒  :Vito :hasChild :Michael .
⇒  :Michael :parentOf :Mary .
```

owl:inverseOf




```
:Carmela :parentOf :Sonny .
:Vincent :childOf :Sonny .
:parentOf owl:inverseOf :childOf .
⇒  :Sonny :parentOf :Vincent .
⇒  :Sonny :childOf :Carmela .
```

owl:SymmetricProperty




```
:Connie :sibling :Fredo .
:sibling rdf:type owl:SymmetricProperty .
⇒  :Fredo :sibling :Connie .
```

owl:TransitiveProperty




```
:Carmela :ancestorOf :Michael .
:Michael :ancestorOf :Mary .
:ancestorOf rdf:type owl:TransitiveProperty .
⇒  :Carmela :ancestorOf :Mary .
```

owl:propertyChainAxiom

Means new to OWL version 2.0!




```
:Sonny :brotherOf :Michael .
:Michael :parentOf :Mary .
:uncleOf owl:propertyChainAxiom (:brotherOf :parentOf) .
⇒  :Sonny :uncleOf :Mary .
```

owl:ReflexiveProperty




```
:similarTo rdf:type owl:ReflexiveProperty .
⇒ :Connie :similarTo :Connie .
  :Freddie :similarTo :Freddie .
  # everything :similarTo itself
```

owl:FunctionalProperty

:Fredo

:hasBioFather

:VitoOld
:VitoYoung



:VitoOld

```
:Fredo :hasBioFather :VitoOld .
:Fredo :hasBioFather :VitoYoung .
:hasBioFather rdf:type owl:FunctionalProperty .
⇒ :VitoOld owl:sameAs :VitoYoung .
```

ASIDE ...

Why do we say `:hasBioFather` and not just `:hasFather`?

- Tom Hagen, the adopted son of Vito
  - Maybe he has two fathers?
  - Hence `:hasBioFather` (has biological father)

owl:InverseFunctionalProperty




```
:VitoOld :bioFatherOf :Connie .
:VitoYoung :bioFatherOf :Connie .
:bioFatherOf rdf:type owl:InverseFunctionalProperty .
⇒ :VitoOld owl:sameAs :VitoYoung .
```

owl:hasKey




```
:Connie a :Singleton ; :hasBioMother :Carmela ; :born "1922-04-16"^^xsd:date .
:Constanza a :Singleton ; :hasBioMother :Carmela ; :born "1922-04-16"^^xsd:date .
:Singleton owl:hasKey ( :hasBioMother :born ) .
⇒ :Connie owl:sameAs :Constanza .
```

owl:IrreflexiveProperty




```
:Fredo :hasBrother :Fredo .
:hasBrother rdf:type owl:IrreflexiveProperty .
```

⇒ FALSE

owl:AsymmetricProperty




```
:Fredo :hasFather :VitoYoung .
:VitoYoung :hasFather :Fredo .
:hasFather rdf:type owl:AsymmetricProperty .
```

⇒ FALSE

owl:disjointPropertyWith




```
:Sonny :hasFather :VitoYoung .
:VitoYoung :hasSon :Sonny .
:hasSon owl:disjointPropertyWith :hasFather .
```

⇒ FALSE

Negative property assertions




```
[] owl:sourceIndividual :Fredo ;
   owl:assertionProperty :hasMother ;
   owl:targetIndividual :Connie .
:Fredo :hasMother :Connie .
⇒ FALSE
```

Recap OWL property axioms

What would be the `owl:inverseOf` the property `:fatherOf`?

Name an `owl:SymmetricProperty` for family relations?

Name an `owl:TransitiveProperty` for family relations?

Give an `owl:propertyChainAxiom` for `:hasNiece`?

Name an `owl:AsymmetricProperty` for family relations?

Name an `owl:FunctionalProperty` for family relations?

Class Axioms in OWL

owl:equivalentClass




```
:Vincent rdf:type :Human .
:Mary rdf:type :Person .
:Human owl:equivalentClass :Person .
⇒ :Vincent rdf:type :Person .
  :Mary rdf:type :Human .
```

owl:disjointWith




```
:Vincent rdf:type :MafiaBoss , :Lawful .
:MafiaBoss owl:disjointWith :Lawful .
⇒ FALSE
```

owl:disjointWith (II)




```
:Vincent rdf:type :MafiaBoss .
:Mary rdf:type :Lawful .
:MafiaBoss owl:disjointWith : Lawful .
⇒ :Vincent owl:differentFrom :Mary
```

Class Definitions in OWL

Description Logics

Name	Syntax	OWL key-term	DL
		Concept Definitions	
Atomic Concept	A	`owl:Class`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Top Concept	$\top$	`owl:Thing`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Bottom Concept	$\bot$	`owl:Nothing`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Negation	$\neg C$	`owl:complementOf`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Intersection	$C \sqcap D$	`owl:intersectionOf`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Union	$C \sqcup D$	`owl:unionOf`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Nominal	$\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$	`owl:oneOf`	$\mathcal{O}$
Existential Restriction	$\exists R.C$	`owl:someValuesFrom`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Universal Restriction	$\forall R.C$	`owl:allValuesFrom`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Self Restriction	$\exists R.\mathsf{Self}$	`owl:hasSelf`	$\mathcal{R}$
Number Restriction	$\leq n\,R, \geq n\,R, = n\,R$	`owl:*cardinality`	$\mathcal{N}$
Qualified Number Restriction	$\leq n\,R.C, \geq n\,R.C, = n\,R.C$	`owl:*qualifiedCardinality`	$\mathcal{Q}$
		Concept Axioms (T-Box)	
Concept Inclusion	$C \sqsubseteq D$	`rdfs:subClassOf`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
		Role Definitions	
Role	R	`owl:*Property`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Inverse Role	R^-	`owl:inverseOf`	$\mathcal{I}$
Universal Role	U	`owl:top*Property`	$\mathcal{R}$
		Role Axioms (R-Box)	
Role Inclusion	$R \sqsubseteq S$	`rdfs:subPropertyOf`	$\mathcal{H}$
Complex Role Inclusion	$R_1 \circ ... \circ R_n \sqsubseteq S$	`owl:propertyChainAxiom`	$\mathcal{R}$
Transitive Roles	$\mathsf{Trans}(R)$	`owl:TransitiveProperty`	$\mathcal{S}$
Functional Roles	$\mathsf{Func}(R)$	`owl:FunctionalProperty`	$\mathcal{F}$
Reflexive Roles	$\mathsf{Ref}(R)$	`owl:ReflexiveProperty`	$\mathcal{R}$
Irreflexive Roles	$\mathsf{Irref}(R)$	`owl:IrreflexiveProperty`	$\mathcal{R}$
Symmetric Roles	$\mathsf{Sym}(R)$	`owl:SymmetricProperty`	$\mathcal{I}$
Asymmetric Roles	$\mathsf{Asym}(R)$	`owl:AsymmetricProperty`	$\mathcal{R}$
Disjoint Roles	$\mathsf{Disj}(R, S)$	`owl:disjointPropertyWith`	$\mathcal{R}$
		Assertional Definitions	
(Named) Individual	a	*(RDF IRI or Literal)*	$\mathcal{ALC}$
		Assertional Axioms (A-Box)	
Role Assertion	$R(a, b)$	*(RDF triple)*	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Negative Role Assertion	$\neg R(a, b)$	`owl:NegativePropertyAssertion`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Concept Assertion	$C(a)$	`rdf:type`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Equality	$a = b$	`owl:sameAs`	$\mathcal{ALC}$
Inequality	$a \neq b$	`owl:differentFrom`	$\mathcal{ALC}$

owl:intersectionOf (⊓) [I]




```
:Carmela rdf:type :Mother .
:Mother rdfs:subClassOf [ owl:intersectionOf ( :Female :Parent ) ]
⇒ :Carmela rdf:type :Female , :Parent .
```

owl:intersectionOf (⊓) [ıı]




```
:Carmela rdf:type :Female , :Parent .
:Mother owl:equivalentClass [ owl:intersectionOf ( :Female :Parent ) ]
⇒ :Carmela rdf:type :Mother .
```

owl:unionOf (⊔) [I]




```
:Vincent rdf:type :Lawful .
:Person owl:equivalentClass [ owl:unionOf ( :Criminal :Lawful ) ]
⇒   :Vincent rdf:type :Person .
```

owl:unionOf (⊔) [II]




```
:Vincent rdf:type :Person .
:Person owl:equivalentClass [ owl:unionOf ( :Criminal :Lawful ) ]
⇒ # :Vincent must be either :Lawful or :Criminal (or both)
```

owl:disjointUnionOf ($\sqcup_D$)




```
:Vincent rdf:type :Person .
:Person owl:equivalentClass
     [ owl:disjointUnionOf ( :Criminal :Lawful ) ]
```

⇒ # :Vincent must be either :Lawful or :Criminal (not both)

owl:complementOf (¬) [I]




```
:Mary rdf:type :Alive .
:Dead owl:equivalentClass [ owl:complementOf :Alive ]
⇒ [] owl:sourceIndividual :Mary ; owl:targetProperty rdf:type ;
       owl:targetIndividual :Dead .
```


WARNING
SPOILER
ALERT

owl:complementOf (¬) [11]



:Vito rdf:type :Dead .

:Dead owl:equivalentClass [owl:complementOf :Alive]

⇒ [] owl:sourceIndividual :Vito ; owl:targetProperty rdf:type ;
owl:targetIndividual :Alive .

owl:oneOf ({})


```
:Godfather owl:equivalentClass
   [ owl:oneOf (:Vito :Michael :Vincent) ]
⇒ :Vito rdf:type :Godfather .
⇒ :Michael rdf:type :Godfather .
⇒ :Vincent rdf:type :Godfather .
```

owl:allValuesFrom (∀)




```
:Mary rdf:type :Person ; :hasParent :Michael .
:Person rdfs:subClassOf
  [ owl:allValuesFrom :Person ; owl:onProperty :hasParent ]
⇒  :Michael rdf:type :Person .
```

owl:someValuesFrom (∃) [I]




```
:Michael :hasChild :Mary . :Mary rdf:type :Person .
:Parent owl:equivalentClass
  [ owl:someValuesFrom :Person ; owl:onProperty :hasChild ]
⇒  :Michael rdf:type :Parent .
```

owl:someValuesFrom (∃) [I]




```
:Michael rdf:type :Parent .
:Parent owl:equivalentClass
  [ owl:someValuesFrom :Person ; owl:onProperty :hasChild ]
⇒  :Michael :hasChild _:someone . _:someone rdf:type :Person .
```

owl:hasValue (∃P.{x}) [I]



:Mary rdf:type :Person .
:Person rdfs:subClassOf
  [owl:hasValue :H.Sapiens ; owl:onProperty :species]
⇒ :Mary :species :H.Sapiens .

owl:hasValue (∃P.{x}) [I]




```
:Mary :species :H.Sapiens .
:Person owl:equivalentClass
  [ owl:hasValue :H.Sapiens ; owl:onProperty :species ]
⇒  :Mary rdf:type :Person .
```

owl:hasSelf (Self) [I]

$\sqsubseteq$ Self(*:loves*)

```
:Michael rdf:type :Narcissist .
:Narcissist rdfs:subClassOf
  [ owl:hasSelf true ; owl:onProperty :loves ]
⇒  :Michael :loves :Michael .
```

owl:hasSelf (Self) [II]



≡ Self(:loves)

```
:Michael :loves :Michael .
:Narcissist owl:equivalentClass
  [ owl:hasSelf true ; owl:onProperty :loves ]
⇒  :Michael rdf:type Narcissist .
```

Cardinality restrictions (≥, ≤,=)

- Define a class with a given number of values for a property:
  - Exact: :Person ⊑ = 2 (:hasBioParent)

```
:Person rdfs:subClassOf [ owl:cardinality 2 ;
                          owl:onProperty :hasBioParent ] .
```

  - Max: :Monogamist ⊑ ≤ 1 (:currentSpouse)

```
:Monogamist rdfs:subClassOf [ owl:maxCardinality 1 ;
                              owl:onProperty :currentSpouse ] .
```

  - Min: :Parent ≡ ≥ 1 (:hasChild)

```
:Parent owl:equivalentClass [ owl:minCardinality 1 ;
                              owl:onProperty :hasChild ] .
```

QUALIFIED CARDINALITY RESTRICTIONS (≥, ≤,=)

- Define a class with a given number of values from a given class for a property:
  - Exact: `:Person ≡ =2 (:hasParent.Person)`

```
:Person owl:equivalentClass [ owl:qualifiedCardinality 2 ;
                              owl:onProperty :hasParent ;
                              owl:onClass :Person ] .
```

  - Now the values in question must be people!

  - Analogous versions of Max and Min.

Recap OWL class axioms/definitions

A class `:HumanParent` might be equivalent to the `owl:unionOf` which classes?

What is the difference/relation between `owl:complementOf` and `owl:disjointWith`?

Give an example use of `owl:allValuesFrom` for family relations

$A \sqsubseteq (B \sqcap \exists P.C)$?

Give an example use of `owl:someValuesFrom` for `:Uncle`.

How might we codify the semantics of a class `:OnlyChild` in OWL?

Slides are examples, not definitions



⇒ :VitoYoung must be owl:sameAs :Vito or :Michael or :Vincent



- sire is a sub-property of parent
- dam is a sub-property of parent
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a Zebra
- A Zebroid has exactly one parent a (¬Zebra and a Equine)
- A Zebroid is a sub-class of Equine
- An Equine has exactly two parents
- Two things cannot be related by sire and dam at the same time


← RDFS
← OWL

Questions?